Trees are the Answer

economy based amount of greenhouse gases emissions, the life sciences: biology, forestry, environmental activist organization. carbon dioxide in particular? How can we genetics; but it was when I discovered increase the amount of land that will ecology that I realized that through Next we took on French atmospheric a substitute for non-renewable fossil basement in Vancouver with a likefuels and materials such as steel, minded group of people, planning a concrete and plastic, and as paper protest campaign against US hydrogen products for printing, packaging and bomb testing in Alaska. We proved that a In 1975 we set sail deep-sea into the sanitation.

some very serious crimes against the change the course of history. By creating off California. We put ourselves in front environment. It is charged with the a focal point for opposition to the tests of the harpoons in little rubber boats and extinction of tens of thousands of we got on national TV news in Canada made it on CBS, ABC and NBC evening species, the deforestation of vast areas of and the US, building a ground swell of news. That really put Greenpeace on the the Earth, and the total and irreversible opposition to nuclear testing in both map. In 1979 the International Whaling destruction of the ecosystem. If I were countries. When that bomb went off in Commission banned factory whaling in one of the urban majority, and I thought November 1971 it was the last hydrogen the North Pacific and soon it was banned the forest industry was causing the bomb ever detonated on planet Earth. in all the world's oceans. irreversible destruction depended on it, I would be against it.

I have spent the last 15 years trying to understand the relationship between Flushed with victory and knowing we draconianly named Seal Protection forestry and the environment, to could bring about change by getting up Regulations that made it illegal to protect separate fact from fiction, myth from and doing something, we were welcomed seals. In 1984 baby seal skins were reality. Since 1991 I have chaired the into the longhouse of the Kwakiutl Nation banned from Sustainable Forestry Committee of the at Alert Bay near the north end of effectively ending the slaughter. Forest Alliance of British Columbia. This Vancouver Island where we were made has provided an ideal opportunity to brothers of the tribe because they Can you believe that in the early 1980's, have learned. But first, let me give you a Rainbow, after a Cree legend that said nuclear wastes, putting them little background.

I believe that trees are the answer to a I was born and raised in the tiny fishing and the birds fall dead to the ground and the Even though there were four more tests opposition. This was the birth of Greenpeace.

that one day when the skies are black thousands of oil drums, loading them on

lot of questions about our future. These and logging village of Winter Harbour on the rivers are poisoned, people of all include: How can we advance to a more the northwest tip of Vancouver Island, in races, colors and creeds will join together on the rainforest by the Pacific. I didn't to form the Warriors of the Rainbow to renewable fuels and materials? How can realize what a blessed childhood I'd had, save the Earth from environmental we improve literacy and sanitation in playing on the tidal flats by the salmon destruction. We named our ship the developing countries while reversing spawning streams in the rainforest, until I Rainbow Warrior and I spent fifteen years deforestation and protecting wildlife at was shipped away to boarding school in on the front lines of the eco-movement the same time? How can we pull carbon Vancouver at age fourteen. I eventually as we evolved from that church out of the atmosphere and reduce the attended the University of BC studying basement into the world's largest

support a greater diversity of species? science I could gain an insight into the nuclear testing in the South Pacific. They How can we help prevent soil erosion and mystery of the rainforest I had known as proved a bit more difficult that the US provide clean air and water? How can we a child. I became a born-again ecologist, Atomic Energy Administration. But after make this world more beautiful and and in the late 1960's, was soon many years of protest voyages and green? The answer is, by growing more transformed into a radical environmental campaigning, involving loss of life on our trees and then using more wood, both as activist. I found myself in a church side, they were first driven underground eventually stopped altogether.

somewhat rag-tag looking group of North Pacific against the Soviet Union's activists could sail a leaky old halibut boat factory whaling fleets that were The forest industry stands accused of across the North Pacific Ocean and slaughtering the last of the sperm whales

environment I wouldn't care how many planned in the series, President Nixon In 1978 I was arrested off Newfoundland jobs it created or how many communities cancelled them due to the public for sitting on a baby seal without permission of the Canadian Minister of Fisheries. I was trying to shield it from the hunter's club. I was convicted: under the European

explore all aspects of the subject. This believed in what we were doing. This the countries of Western Europe were presentation is the synthesis of what I began the tradition of the Warriors of the pooling their low and medium level

ocean as a way of "disposing" of the not Greenpeace and the UK Seafarer's Union priorities. Compromise and co-operation the living trees. put an end to that practice for good.

By the mid-1980's Greenpeace had industry, around the world, now tackling toxic the past 15 years. waste, acid rain, uranium mining and drift basis.

For me it was time to make a change. I Columbia and they are also by far the extinction. had been against at least three or four most important basis of economic wealth things every day of my life for 15 years; I for families and communities. decided I'd like to be in favour of transition from the politics our environmental problems.

environmental movement this transition growing long wooden stems. began to occur in the mid-1980s. The taking the new environmental values we grasslands, nor the alpine areas, but had popularized, and incorporating them ecosystems that are dominated by trees. real needs for food, energy and thin air. And beneath the canopy, in the were pests. materials. The challenge for sustainability interior of the forest, the environment is reduce

always easy to with the involvement of government, academia and

something for a change. I made the I soon discovered that trees are just large occasions to please provide me with a list of plants that have evolved the ability to of some of the species that have confrontation to the politics of building grow long wooden stems. They didn't do supposedly become extinct due to consensus. After all, when a majority of that so we could cut them up into lumber logging. They have not offered up a single people decide they agree with you it is and grind them into pulp; they actually example as evidence. In fact, to the best probably time to stop hitting them over had only one purpose in mind and that of our scientific knowledge, no species the head with a stick and sit down and was to get their needles or leaves higher has become extinct in North America due talk to them about finding solutions to up above the other plants where the tree to forestry. could then monopolize the Sun's energy for photosynthesis. When foresters Where are these 50,000 species that are All social movements evolve from an create openings or clearcuts when they said to be going extinct each year? They earlier period of polarization and harvest trees, one of the reasons for are in a computer model in Edward O. confrontation during which a minority doing it is so the new trees growing back Wilson's laboratory at Harvard University. struggles to convince society that its can be in full sunlight. Trees are basically They are electrons on a hard drive, they cause it is true and just, eventually plants that want to be in the sun. If trees have no Latin names, and they are in no followed by a time of reconciliation if a wanted to be in the shade they would way related to any direct field majority of the population accepts the have been shrubs instead, they would observations in any forest. values of the new movement. For the not have spent so much time and energy

must also be socially acceptable and the wood of the trees, creates thousands was found nowhere else. If all the forest

ships and dumping them in the Atlantic technically and economically feasible. It is of new habitats into which new species balance can evolve, species that could never have wastes. In 1984 a combined effort by environmental, social, and economic existed if it were not for the presence of

the This gives rise to the obvious concern grown from that church basement to an environmental movement is required to that if the trees are cut down the habitats organization with an income of over achieve sustainability. It is this effort to or homes will be lost and the species that US\$100 million per year, offices in 21 find consensus among competing live in them will die. Indeed, in 1996 the countries and over 100 campaigns interests that has occupied my time for World Wildlife Fund, at a media conference in Geneva, announced that 50,000 species are going extinct each net fishing as well as the original issues. Coming from British Columbia, born into year due to human activity. And the main We had won over a majority of the public a third generation forest industry family, cause of these 50,000 extinctions, they industrialized democracies. and educated in forestry and ecology, it said, is commercial logging. The story was Presidents and prime ministers were made sense that I would focus on the carried around the world by Associated talking about the environment on a daily challenge of defining sustainable forestry. Press and other media and hundreds of After all, forests are by far the most millions of people came to believe that important environment in British forestry is the main cause of species

> During the past three years I have asked the World Wildlife Fund on many

It's not as if humans have never caused the extinction of species; they have and term sustainable development was Forests are home to the majority of living the list is quite long. There are three main adopted to describe the challenge of species; not the oceans, nor the ways by which humans cause species extinction. First, and perhaps most effective, is simply killing them all, with into the traditional social and economic There is a fairly simple reason for this. spears, clubs, and rifles. The passenger values that have always governed public The living bodies of the trees themselves pigeon, the dodo bird, the Carolinian policy and our daily behaviour. We create a new environment that would not parakeet, and back in time, the cannot simply switch to basing all our be there in their absence. Now the mammoths and mastodons, are all actions on purely environmental values. canopy above is home to millions of birds examples of species that were simply Every day 6 billion people wake up with and insects where there was once only wiped out either for food or because they

is to provide for those needs in ways that now protected from frost and sun and Secondly, the vast clearance of native negative impact on the wind. This, in combination with the food forests for agriculture. There may have environment. But any changes made provided by the leaves, fruits and even been an orchid in that valley bottom that

planted with corn the orchid may there is actually no evidence to support of forest disturbance. There is no truth to disappear forever.

brought with them rats, cats, foxes, pigs, assistance, as well as many other species.

due to forestry.

species that was never threatened with including young trees. extinction due to forestry, and yet in the when those loggers lost their jobs. More becomes available. In humans, we call fire provides nutrients for new growth. importantly, it is now evident that this migration, but it is the same thing. reporting on the settlement of the return. Headwaters redwood forests nearby, the Pacific Northwest.

sources such as the New York Times and All kinds of rhetoric is used to give the heal and a new forest will emerge.

is cleared away, burned, plowed, and major cause of species extinction when fundamentally different from other forms that position.

Third, and actually the major cause of There is a reason why forestry seldom, if from a volcano, which in turn is very species extinction by humans during the ever, causes species to become extinct. different from an ice age. In fact, no two past 200 years is the introduction of We tend to think that forests need our fires are ever the same. These are exotic predators and diseases. In help to recover after destruction, differences of degree, not kind. Forests particular, when Europeans colonized whether by fire or logging. Of course this are just as capable of recovering from Australia, New Zealand, and the other is not the case. Forests have been destruction by logging as they are from Pacific Islands, including Hawaii, they recovering by themselves, without any any other form of disturbance. All that is from fires, sheep, goats, chickens and cows, and all landslides, floods and ice ages, ever since disturbance is ended, that the fire is out, the other domestic animals and plants, forests began over 350 million years ago. that the volcano stops erupting, that the including their diseases. This resulted in Consider the fact that 10,000 years ago ice retreats, or that the loggers go back the extinction of hundreds of ground all of Canada and Russia were covered by down the road and allow the forest to dwelling marsupials and flightless birds, a huge sheet of ice under which nothing begin growing back, which it will begin to lived, certainly not trees. Today, Canada do almost immediately. and Russia account for 30 percent of all

ecosystem like logging, National Geographic that forestry is a impression that logging is somehow In some areas of the Yellowstone fire the

this. It is true that logging is different from fire, but fire is also very different volcanoes, necessary for renewal is that the

We have long lists of species that have the forests on earth, grown back from If you don't think fire destroys the become extinct due to these three types bare rock. Go to Alaska where the ecosystem, you should try counting the of human activity but we do not know of glaciers are retreating due to the present species left alive after a severe forest fire. a single species that has become extinct warming trend, and you will see that A hot wildfire in a dry pine forest not only from the moment the rocks are laid bare kills every living thing above the ground, to the sun, it is only 80 years until a it also burns the soil, killing the roots and The spotted owl is one of the many thriving new ecosystem is growing there, seeds, basically sterilizing the site and leaving it lifeless. Yet it is often only a few years after such a fire that the land is early 1990's, 30,000 loggers were thrown It follows from this that every species alive with grasses and flowers again. out of work in the US Pacific Northwest which lives in the forest must be capable Everywhere in the world there are due to concern that logging in the of re-colonizing areas of land that are pioneer plants which produce seeds with National Forests would cause the owl's recovering from destruction. Indeed, fluff on them. They can carry for 100 extinction. Since that time, in just a few forest renewal is the sum total of all the miles on a light breeze, looking for a short years, it has been shown by actual individual species returning to the site, place to settle in the open sun and field observations that there are more each in their turn, as the forest grows germinate. A recently burned forest is a than twice as many spotted owls in the back. In ecology, this is known as perfect place for these seeds; the shade public forests of Washington State than dispersal, the ability to move from where of the trees is gone allowing full sun to were thought to be theoretically possible you are and to inhabit new territory as it reach the ground, and the ash from the

spotted owls are capable of living and Dispersal is an absolute requirement for In Yellowstone National Park, fire burned breeding in landscapes that are natural selection and the survival of over one million acres in 1988. Even after dominated by second growth forests. species. No species could exist if it were eight years, the most severely burned Over 1000 spotted owls have been not capable of dispersal. Therefore, so areas off the park have very little documented on Simpson Timber's half long as the land is left alone after the vegetation growing back. This is partly million acre second growth redwood forest is destroyed, the forest will recover due to the very short summers at 8000 forests in northern California. And yet, in and all the species that were in it will foot elevations, but also because extremely hot fires not only remove nitrogen from the soil but also vaporize New York Times described the spotted Fire has always been the main cause of the phosphorous, thus depleting the soil owl as a "nearly extinct species" despite forest destruction, or disturbance, as of two of the three most essential the fact that there are tens of thousands ecologists like to call it in order to use a nutrients. While nitrogen is returned to of them thriving in the forests of the more neutral term. But fire is natural, we the soil relatively quickly through the are told, and does not destroy the forest action of nitrogen fixing bacteria, which is phosphorous must be weathered from So the general public is being given the unnatural. Nature never comes with the minerals in the soil. This may take 50 impression, by supposedly reputable logging trucks and takes the trees away, or 100 years but eventually the soil will

where the seeds were burned.

nature, there is no better place to go disaster such as a volcano. than Mount St. Helens in Washington Forest. controlled Corp. based in Tacoma, Washington.

dead trees are still lying where they were them again. blown over or had their tops blown off by been able to take root in the poor soil.

Weyerhaeuser took a blowing in on the wind, a classic case of permanent

though everything above the ground was through the ash into the healthy soil images in the hands of anti-forestry killed, the seeds of the pine and other beneath. Today these seedlings are over activists. species survived in the soil. Here a new 20 feet tall and will produce a forest is growing back quickly and the commercial crop of timber in the year Taken in the right light, clearcuts can new pines will produce seeds in 10 or 15 2026. The contrast between the National actually looked quite pretty. Think, for years. These seeds will gradually march Volcanic Monument and Weyerhaeuser's just a moment, of the clearcut as a across the landscape, reforesting the land land offers proof that a couple of temporary meadow. It is temporary In order to witness total destruction by an ecosystem recovers after a natural is meadow-like for the time being

portion of their land that was destroyed primitive logging methods of the day. Monument, "where nature will be new forest in which bears, wolves,

the initial blast. A thick layer of volcanic We have all been taught since we were Within a year or two of harvesting, ash then settled out, making a very children that you should not judge a book clearcuts will generally have far higher sterile seed bed for seeds blowing in on by its cover, in other words that beauty is biodiversity than meadows. And within a the wind. Only a few hardy nitrogen- only skin deep. Yet we are still easily decade or so they begin to look just as fixing plants, such as slide alder, have tricked into thinking that if we like what good too. we see with our eyes, it must be good, and if we don't like what we see with our In the space of a few short years, a completely eyes, it must be bad. We tend to link our clearcut that is very ugly to look at can be different approach. First they salvage visual impression of what is beautiful and transformed into a beautiful sea of logged 85,000 three-bedroom homes what is ugly with our moral judgment of blossoms growing from seeds that blow worth of timber from their land in two what is right and wrong. The Sierra Club in on the wind after fire. Was the clearcut years following the eruption. By bringing says, "You don't need a professional bad when it looked ugly? Is it good now in heavy equipment and dragging the big forester to tell if a forest is mismanaged - that it looks beautiful? The fact is, it is a logs around, they broke through the if a forest appears to be mismanaged, it is serious volcanic ash everywhere, exposing the mismanaged." They want you to believe environmental health of the land simply fertile soil beneath it. This created a that the ugly appearance of a recently by looking at it from an aesthetic much more fertile seed bed for seeds harvested forest is synonymous with perspective. destruction of site disturbance, or site preparation as environment. And yet, the unsightly sea The way we think the land should look it's called when we do it on purpose, of stumps is not nuclear waste or a toxic often has more to do with personal and increasing the fertility of the site. discharge, it is 100 percent organic, and social values than anything to do with Something every farmer who plows their will soon grow back to a beautiful new biodiversity or science. We tend to fields knows. Then they planted two- forest again. All the same, the fact that idealize nature, as if there is some perfect

soil was wet at seepage sites, and even advanced enough to get their roots down ugly to our eyes makes for very effective

interventions by people can make a because it will not stay that way; it will dramatic difference to the way in which grow back into a new forest a gain. But it because the trees have been removed and now the sun can reach directly to the State. When this volcano blew up in 1980 My grandfather, Albert Moore, clearcut ground, fostering the growth of plants it destroyed over 150,000 acres of forest, large areas of coastal rainforest on that could never grow in the shade of the much of it old growth growing on the northern Vancouver Island in the 1930s trees. We never think of meadows and flanks of the mountain. Interestingly, the and '40s. He didn't know the word clearcuts in the same breath. After all, forest that was destroyed was in two ecology, and the word biodiversity would meadows are lovely places which you can distinct jurisdictions. Part of it was not be invented for another 50 years. walk across easily in the open sun, find a federal public lands, the Gifford Pinchot And you can be sure they weren't talking dry smooth place, lay your picnic blanket from about the environment at the breakfast down and have a lovely afternoon. Washington DC. Part of it was private table on a dark, cold winter morning Clearcuts, on the other hand, are ugly timberlands owned by the Weyerhaeuser before they went out and worked hard places full of twisted, broken wood and six or seven days a week, to get the big stumps, and there is no nice smooth, dry timber down to the sea, sometimes place to put down a picnic blanket. These The US government re-designated the taking half the soil with it due to the distinctions have nothing to do with biodiversity or science, they are purely the Mount St. Helen's National Volcanic Today these areas are covered in lush matters of human aesthetics. Meadows are actually small deserts where it is too permitted to recover, unaided by human cougar, deer, owls, eagles ravens, and dry for trees to grow. That's why they are beings, for the discovery of science." 18 hawks have found a home again. These so smooth. Meadows are only capable of years after the initial blast the Volcanic species have dispersed back to the site as supporting drought-resistant grasses and Monument still looks like a desert. The the environment became suitable for herbs. Clearcuts, on the other hand, can support a wider variety of grasses and herbs, as well as woody shrubs and trees.

> mistake to

year-old Douglas fir seedlings that were recently harvested areas of forest appear state that is exactly right for a given area

of land. There are actually thousands of building our houses, towns, and cities. world's forests have nothing to do with own right. There is nothing better about human population. old trees than there is about young trees. species to live in that landscape.

give the impression of environmental present in the original forest graze. destruction and deforestation.

On the other hand, a rural scene of most surrounding trees would blow in and the same things we accuse them of doing. whole area would be blanketed in new what we call agriculture. That's why they are more likely to be left alone. deforestation is seldom caused by growing our food, and by nice carpenters we can do to prevent further loss of the We need to give the public a new pair of

different combinations of species at all Deforestation is not an evil plot, it is forestry. These three things are: different stages of forest growth that are something we do on purpose in order to perfectly natural and sustainable in their feed and house the 6 billion and growing 1.

of all ages, young, medium, and old in the pasture is pleasant to the eye. Yet it This is a simple fact of arithmetic. landscape. This will provide the highest wasn't that many years ago when 2. diversity of habitats and therefore the McDonald's restaurants, bowing to heavy production. Over the last 50 years in opportunity for the largest number of public pressure due to concern about North America we have learned to grow Deforestation is a difficult subject for the promised they would never buy another technology, and pest control. If we had forest industry because it certainly looks tropical cow. It was apparently fine, not made these advances we would deforested when all the trees are cut however, to continue buying cows grown either have to clear away five times as down in a given area. Unfortunately for in North America. Is this because we have much forest, which is not available the public's understanding of this term, a higher standard for deforestation in anyway, or more likely we simply could cutting the trees down is not sufficient in North America then they do in Latin not grow as much food. Again, it is a itself to cause deforestation. What really America? No, it is a complete double matter of arithmetic. The more food we matters is whether the forest is removed standard. Deforestation is deforestation can grow on a given piece of land, the permanently, or reforested with new regardless of where it is practiced. The less forest will be lost to grow it. trees. But the unsightly nature of a forest is completely removed and 3. recently harvested forest, even if it is replaced with a monoculture pasture on actually only one significant cause of going to grow back eventually, can easily which exotic animals that were not continuing forest loss in United States;

people think the the jumble of woody debris in a clearcut. of Australia's native forest has been energy and materials. Yet it is the farm and pasture land that destroyed for agriculture. The same is

never know there had been a farm there. vegetables in which case you will cause little biodiversity in a hayfield, yet it will The entire area would be reforested the creation of monoculture cabbage more often catch the eve than again, just by leaving it alone. That's plantations and other such food crops surrounding because deforestation is not an event, where there once were forests. Now it's biodiversity is high. that just happens and then is over true that cabbages are prettier than forever. Deforestation is actually an stumps, unfortunately true for the The same is true of the sight of a field of ongoing process of continuous human public's understanding of deforestation. flowers in bloom. The bold, beautiful interference, preventing the forest from Birds and insects are not welcome in colors of a monoculture tulip plantation, growing back, which it would if it was areas of monoculture crops. If they wish sprayed regularly with pesticides to keep simply left alone. The most common form to avoid being shot or poisoned they had the petals perfect for the florist's shop, of interference with forest renewal is best retreat into a forest nearby where are attractive to our eye. We hardly

forestry, the whole intention of which is Don't get me wrong, I'm not against species of native trees, hundreds of to cause reforestation. Deforestation is farming. We all have to eat. But it is species of native birds, insects, animals nearly always caused by friendly farmers interesting to note that the three things and plants.

- Population management. The more people there are in this world the more mouths there are to feed and the Perhaps the ideal state is to have forests The scene of cattle grazing in a lush green more forest we must clear to feed them.
 - Intensive agricultural deforestation in Central and South five times as much food on the same area America to grow cows for hamburger, of land, due to advances in genetics,
- Urban densification. There is 200 cities sprawling out over the landscape and permanently converting If you go to Australia, you'll find that forest and farm to pavement. If we would worst design our cities for a higher density, farmlands and pasture looks pleasant to deforestation is occurring in Malaysia and more livable environment, we would not the eye and is neat and tidy compared to Indonesia, when in fact about 40 percent only save forests, we would also use less

truly represents deforestation. It has true in United States; about 40 percent of The sight of large bales of freshly mown been cleared of forest long ago and the the original forests have been converted hav placed evenly across a farm field is forest has been permanently replaced by to farming. We always like to think that attractive to our eye in the late afternoon food crops and fodder. More important, the bad people are long way away and sun. The light and form of the hay bales is if we stopped plowing the farmland for speak another language. We often fail to pretty to us, we tend to judge landscapes just 5 years in a row, seeds from the realize that we are doing exactly the by how good a postcard they would make. The bales of hay are actually just large lumps of dead cellulose laying on a tree seedlings. Within 80 years you would And if you don't eat meat, you must eat deforested piece of land. There is a very forest land where

> notice the gray-green monotone of the native forest nearby, containing tens of

eyes with which to see the landscape, to and planted to make the farm. Forestry, anti-environmental. In particular, it is but it is not intuitively obvious to people. absence of human activity. They simply tend to judge the health of make judgments about ecology.

A large parking lot is the ultimate in beautiful new forest again. deforestation. The automobile is arguably over the earth, killing every living thing, so that cars and trucks may roam about hazardous, and carcinogenic to boot. It is wood illegal to bury it, but perfectly legal to "environmentally dump it directly onto the earth in a thin is provided. The Sierra Club is calling for The answer is to grow more trees. layer, killing every living thing. This is the "zero cut" and an end to all commercial world's largest case of legalized toxic forestry on federal public lands in United 25 percent of the wood used in the world dumping, and we turn a blind eye to it States. The Rainforest Action Network is for building things such as houses and because of our love affair with the wants a 75 percent reduction in wood furniture. Every available substitute is automobile and our dependence on the use in North America by the year 2015. I non-renewable and requires a great deal transportation infrastructure it provides.

Think of a biodiversity on a scale from 0 my to 100. You would have to admit that the environmentalist and ecologist, that this solar energy. Wood is essentially the parking lot is pretty close to 0. There is an anti-environmental policy. Putting material embodiment of solar energy. might be a blade of grass poking through aside, for a moment, the importance of Non-renewable building materials such as in the odd place. A farm field or pasture forestry for our might rate 5 or 10, compared to the communities; on purely environmental produced in real factories such as steel

get beyond the immediate visual the way it is practiced today throughout logically impression and to understand a little most of North America, is 96, 98, 100, diametrically opposed to, policies that more about science, ecology, and even 102. Because in some landscapes would bring about positive results for biodiversity. This is perhaps the single forest management results in a wider both climate change and biodiversity most important task for the forest range of age classes and ecosystem types conservation. I will explain my reasoning industry. The lesson is not a difficult one, than would normally occur in the for this belief:

that is soon going to grow back into a at what we are doing with all this wood.

than forestry.

they use and to firm belief, original forest that was cut down, burned grounds the policy of "use less wood" is mills, cement works, and oil refineries.

inconsistent

First, it is important to recognize that we the environment with the same eyes they All this controversy, political pressure, do use a tremendous amount of wood. use to judge the aesthetics of the land. If and near-hysterical rhetoric over a few. On a daily basis, on average, each of the a person strongly believes that forestry is percent of biodiversity, with the camera 6 billion people on Earth uses 3.5 pounds bad because it is ugly, no amount of lens focused squarely in on the most or 1.6 kilos of wood every day, for a total technical and scientific information will recent, ugliest, burnt-out clear-cut of 3.5 billion tons per year. So why don't cause them to change their mind. First available, as if it's going to remain that we just cut that in half and save vast they must understand that the look of way forever. The real extreme is the areas of forest from harvesting? In order the land is not sufficient, in itself, to parking lot and other areas of to demonstrate the superficial nature of deforestation, not the recently cut forest this apparent logic it is necessary to look

It comes as a surprise to many people the most destructive technology ever. We have to help take the blinkers off that over half the wood used every year invented by the human species, people's eyes, and to give them a better is not for building things but for burning Especially when you consider the black appreciation of the full range of impacts as energy. 60 percent of all wood use is stuff that is usually found beneath them, caused by our various activities. When it for energy, mainly for cooking and asphalt. Why is it legal to take the toxic comes to biodiversity conservation, there heating in the tropical developing waste from oil refineries and spread it all is no more sustainable primary industry countries where 2.5 billion people depend on wood as their primary source of energy. They cannot afford substitutes freely? When crude oil is put into an oil You would think that since forestry is the because most of them make less than refinery, by the hundreds of millions of most sustainable of all the primary \$1000 per year. But even if they could barrels a day, we take the gasoline off the industries, and that wood is without a afford substitute fuels they would nearly top to run the cars, then the diesel oil to doubt the most renewable material used always have to turn to coal, oil, or natural run the trucks and trains. Near the to build and maintain our civilization, that gas; in other words non-renewable fossil bottom we extract the bunker C crude oil this would give wood a lot of green eco-fuels. How are we going to stabilize which is used to fire the boilers on big points in the environmental movement's carbon dioxide emissions from excessive ships as they cross the sea. But in the ledger. Unfortunately, this doesn't seem use of fossil fuels under the Climate very bottom, left over, is this black, to be the case. Greenpeace has gone Change Convention if 2.5 billion people gooey crud. If you took it to a licensed before the United Nations Inter- switch from a renewable wood energy to landfill in a truck they would turn you Governmental Panel on Forests, calling non-renewable fossil fuels? Even in cases away at the gate because it's toxic, on countries to reduce the amount of where fuelwood supplies are not adopt sustainable at present levels appropriate consumption the answer is not to use load it into huge fleets of trucks and substitutes" instead. No list of substitutes less wood and switch to non-renewables.

> think it is fair to summarize this approach more energy consumption to produce. as "cut fewer trees, use less wood". It is That is because wood is produced in a as a lifelong factory called the forest by renewable economy and steel, cement, and plastic must be

change policy.

15 percent of the wood harvested is used for printing, packaging, and sanitary world's derived from the wastes from the where no industrial development occurs. sawmills which produce the solid wood The World Wildlife Fund recommends remaining supply is from tree plantation's should be set aside for this purpose. not have the effect of "saving" many manage it more intensively for higher printing, packaging, and sanitation. forests.

going to grow the hemp, on Mars? Do good for our health as well as the health consumes you have another continent somewhere of the environment. that we don't know about? No, the fact is be growing trees.

concern is biodiversity conservation to be environmental movement. So long as this most renewable resource. advocating the establishment off massive people think it is inherently wrong to cut monocultures of annual exotic farm crops down trees we will continue to behave in To conclude, let me take you back to the where we could be growing trees.

It is therefore clear to me that the policy

building, switching to substitutes nearly policy is a positive rather a negative one. always results in increased carbon From an environmental perspective the use more wood". This can accomplished in a number of ways.

> forest into permanently timber production, keeping in mind the By far the most powerful tool at our

This usually requires large inputs of fossil of "use less wood" is anti-environmental many questions about our future on this fuels inevitably resulting in high carbon because it would result in increased earth. These include - how can we dioxide emissions. So, for 70 percent of carbon dioxide emissions and a reduction advance to a more sustainable economy the wood used each year for energy and in forested land. I believe the correct based on renewable fuels and materials? How can we improve literacy and sanitation in developing countries while dioxide emissions, contrary to climate correct policy is "grow more trees, and reversing deforestation and protecting be wildlife at the same time? How can we reduce the amount of greenhouse gases emitted to the atmosphere, carbon to manufacture pulp and paper mainly First, it is important to place some of the dioxide in particular? How can we increase the amount of land that will purposes. Fully half of this wood is protected parks and wilderness reserves support a greater diversity of species? How can we help prevent soil erosion and provide clean air and water? How can we products for building. Most of the that 10 percent of the world's forests make this world more beautiful and green? The answer is, by growing more many of which are established on land Perhaps it should even be 15 percent. But trees and using more wood both as a that was previously cleared for then the question becomes, how should substitute for non-renewable fossil fuels agriculture. So even if we did stop using we manage the remaining 85 to 90 and materials such as steel, concrete, and wood to make pulp and paper it would percent of the forest? I believe we should plastic, and as paper products for

needs of other species in the landscape. disposal to reduce carbon dioxide Many off you have heard of the idea that By just managing our existing forests emissions from fossil fuel consumption is we should stop using trees to make paper better we could dramatically increase the the growing of trees and the use of and use "alternative fibers" such as world's supply of wood. In addition, we wood. Most environmentalists recognize hemp, kenaf, and cotton. "Tree-free should expand the geographic extent of the positive benefits of growing trees to paper" made from "wood-free pulp" our forests, largely by reforesting areas of absorb carbon dioxide from the would supposedly be better for the land that were previously cleared for atmosphere. But then they say "don't cut environment than paper made from agriculture. In particular, huge areas of them down or you will undo the good trees. I speak at schools and universities forest have been cleared for domestic that's been done". This would be true if on a regular basis and have found that animal production to supply us with you simply piled the trees in a heap and many young people believe that this is meat. A modest reduction in meat lit them on fire. If, however, the wood is the right approach to improve the consumption would open up large areas used as a substitute for fossil fuels and environment. I ask them "where are you of land for reforestation. This would be for building materials whose production fossil fuels, dramatically reduce the consumption of fossil fuels and carbon dioxide emissions. we would have to grow the hemp on this In the tropical developing countries there For example, consider a large coalplanet, in soil where you could otherwise is a pressing need for sustainable burning power plant. If we grow trees fuelwood plantations as well as forest and use the wood as a substitute for the plantations to provide timber. We should coal we are able to offset nearly 100 Give me an acre of land anywhere on direct more of our international aid percent of the carbon dioxide emissions Earth, tell me to grow something there programs towards this end. Relatively from the power plant. That is because with which I can make paper, that would modest changes in fiscal and taxation sustainable use of wood results in a zero also be best for biodiversity, and I will policy could bring about a doubling of net release of carbon dioxide whereas plant trees every single time, without global wood supply within 40 years. All coal combustion counts for the full 100 exception. It is simply a fact that even the that is required is the political will to put percent. If environmentalists would simplest monoculture pine plantation is these policies in place. But the general recognize this fact it would inevitably better for wildlife, birds, and insects than public and our political leaders have been lead them to believe that the answer is in any annual farm crop. It is ridiculous for confused by the misguided approach growing more trees and using more environmental groups who say their main towards forestry taken by much of the wood rather than in reducing our use of

> a logically inconsistent and dysfunctional rainforest of the West Coast of North America. About 300 feet from my house I believe that trees are the answer to in downtown Vancouver is Pacific Spirit

western red cedar, hemlock, maple, alder declared a regional park. and cherry. But people who come by the that helped build Vancouver.

Vancouver Island. They just cut the routinely described in the media as the achieve sustainability in the 21st century. timber and moved on to cut more "total and irreversible destruction of the somewhere else. Nothing was done to environment". I don't buy that. I believe

Park, 2000 acres of beautiful native help restore the land, but it was left that if forests can recover by themselves forest, right in the heart of the city. It is alone. It became part of the University of from total and complete destruction, that not a botanical garden where people British Columbia Endowment Lands, and with our growing knowledge of forest come and prune the bushes and plant was not developed into housing like the science in silviculture, biodiversity tulip bulbs, it is the real thing, a wild west rest of Vancouver. It all grew back into a conservation, soils, and genetics; we can coast rainforest full of Douglas-fir, beautiful new forest and in 1989 was ensure that the forests of this world continue to provide an abundant, and hopefully growing, supply of renewable hundreds each day to walk on the many. In Pacific Spirit Park, there are Douglas- wood to help build and maintain our trails in Pacific Spirit Park would find it firs over four feet in diameter and over civilization while at the same time hard to believe that all 2000 acres were 120 feet tall. All of the beauty has providing an abundant, and hopefully completely clearcut logged around the returned to Pacific Spirit Park. The growing, supply of habitat for the turn of the century to feed the sawmills fertility has returned to the soil. And the thousands of other species that depend biodiversity has recovered; the mosses, on the forest for their survival every day ferns, fungi, liverworts, and all the other just as much as we do. The fact is, a The loggers who clearcut Pacific Spirit small things that are part of a natural world without forests is as unthinkable as Park with double-bitted axes and forest. There are pileated woodpeckers, a day without wood. And it's time that crosscut saws long before the chainsaw barred owls, ravens, hawks, eagles, politicians, environmentalists, foresters, was invented didn't know the words coyotes and a colony of great blue herons teachers, journalists, and the general ecology or biodiversity any more than my nesting in the second-growth cedar trees. public got that balance right. Because we grandfather did on the north end of It is a forest reborn, reborn from what is must get it right if we are going to

May the Forest be With You

"Dr. Patrick Moore is a co-founder and former leader of the environmental group Greenpeace. For more information on Dr. Moore's positive views on forestry and the environment, please consider purchasing Dr. Moore's new, revised tenth anniversary edition of Trees Are the Answer at www.TreesAreTheAnswer.com "